Research Article

The Catalytic Function of Nonheme Iron (III) Complex for Hydrocarbon Oxidation

Giorgos Bilis and Maria Louloudi

Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, 45110 Ioannina, Greece

Correspondence should be addressed to Maria Louloudi, mlouloud@uoi.gr

Received 13 March 2010; Accepted 10 May 2010

Academic Editor: Spyros Perlepes

Copyright © 2010 G. Bilis and M. Louloudi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A detailed catalytic study of $LFe^{III}Cl$ (where $L = 3-\{2-[2-(3-hydroxy-1,3-diphenyl-allylideneamino)-ethylamino]-ethylimino]-$ 1,3-diphenyl-propen-1-ol) for hydrocarbon oxidation was carried out, focusing on the role of solvent, atmospheric dioxygen, $and oxidant on catalytic efficiency. The data showed that <math>LFe^{III}Cl$ catalyst was efficient in homogeneous hydrocarbon oxidations providing significant yields. Moreover, *tert*-BuOOH provided comparable oxidation yields with H₂O₂, slightly favoring the formation of alcohols and ketones *versus* epoxides. Dioxygen intervened in the catalytic reaction, influencing the nature of oxidation products. The polarity of solvent strongly influenced the reaction rates and the nature of oxidation products. A mechanistic model is postulated assuming that $LFe^{III}Cl$ functions *via* the formation of iron-hydroperoxo-species, followed by a radical-based mechanistic path.

1. Introduction

Hydrocarbon oxidation, under mild and environmental friendly conditions, is an important research field, since industrial processes, especially in pharmaceutical industry, are based on the efficiency of direct and selective transformation of hydrocarbons in oxygen-containing products such as aldehydes, ketones alcohols, diols, and epoxides [1, 2]. However, selective oxidation of alkanes, under mild conditions, is a difficult task due to their chemical inertness. Nevertheless, in nature many iron enzymes activate dioxygen and catalyze the stereospesific oxidation of C=C or C-H bonds [3, 4]. Heme iron-proteins such as hemoglobin, myoglobin and cytochromes oxygenase [5] and nonheme enzymes such as methane monooxygenase [6, 7] and Rieske dioxygenases [8, 9] are able to oxidize hydrocarbons [10] via biochemical oxygen transport and electron-transfer reactions.

The objective to construct convenient artificial systems for efficient hydrocarbon oxidation using biomimetic iron complexes [11, 12] as catalysts [13–15] by activating green oxidants is particularly interesting. Bioinspired catalytic systems commonly use mild oxidants such as dioxygen, hydrogen peroxide, or tert-butyl hydrogen peroxide (TBHP) [16– 20]. In this context, stereoselective hydroxylation, epoxidation, and *cis*-dihydroxylation by synthetic iron-biomimetic catalysts have been reported [21–29]. Iron-peroxo species are invoked to be part of the mechanism of several bioinspired oxidation catalysts [30, 31]. More particularly, ironcomplexes react with H_2O_2 or alkyl hydroperoxides forming low-spin Fe^{III}OOH [32, 33] or Fe^{III}OOR [34, 35] which are the key-species in oxidation reactions [16].

Recently, we have reported the synthesis and characterization of the ligand $3-\{2-[2-(3-hydroxy-1,3-diphenyl-all$ $ylideneamino)-ethylamino]-ethylimino\}-1, 3-diphenyl-pro$ pen- 1-ol and its immobilization on silica surface*via* formation of covalent bridging between the ligand secondaryamine and the silica OH-groups [36, 37]. Herein for brevitythis ligand will be named L. The corresponding Mn(II)[36, 37] and Fe(III) [38] catalysts were shown to haveremarkable catalytic activity in hydrocarbons oxidationusing H₂O₂ as oxidant.

In the present contribution, we report a detailed catalytic study of LFe^{III}Cl focusing mainly on the influence of (a) atmospheric dioxygen, (b) solvent system, and (c) oxidant on its catalytic efficiency. The obtained information is compared with previous data of the LFe^{III}Cl catalyst, and mechanistic aspects are also discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1. General. All substrates were purchased from Aldrich, in their highest commercial purity, stored at 5°C and purified by passage through a column of basic alumina prior to use. Hydrogen peroxide was 30% aqueous solution and tert-BuOOH was 5 M solution in decane.

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Spectrum GX Perkin-Elmer FT-IR System. UV-Vis spectra were recorded using a UV/VIS/NIR JASCO Spectrophotometer. The iron amount was determined by Flame Atomic Absorption spectroscopy on a Perkin-Elmer AAS-700 spectrometer. Mössbauer spectra were recorded with a constant acceleration spectrometer using a ⁵⁷Co (Rh) source at room temperature and a variable-temperature. X-band Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectra were recorded using a Brucker ER200D spectrometer at liquid N₂ temperatures, equipped with an Agilent 5310A frequency counter. The spectrometer was running under a home-made software based on LabView described earlier [39]. Mass spectra were measured on a Agilent 1100 Series LC-MSD-Trap-SL spectrometer and solution. Thermogravimetric analyses were carried out using Shimadzu DTG-60 analyser. GC analysis was performed using an 8000 Fisons chromatograph with a flame ionization detector and a Shimadzu GC-17A gas chromatograph coupled with a GCMS-QP5000 mass spectrometer.

2.2. Catalysts Preparation and Characterization

2.2.1. Preparation of $LFe^{III}Cl$. To a stirred solution of ethanol and acetonitrile (15 ml) containing the ligand L= 3-{2-[2-(3-hydroxy-1,3-diphenyl-allylideneamino)- ethylamino]-ethylimino}-1,3-diphenyl-propen-1-ol [36, 37] a solution of FeCl₃ in a mixture of EtOH and CH₃CN was added slowly. The resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. Partially solvent evaporation resulted in separation of an orange solid product. The obtained LFe^{III}Cl complex was washed with EtOH and CH₃CN and dried under reduced pressure.

2.2.2. Characterization of LFe^{III}Cl. Iron analysis by Flame Atomic Absorbance Spectroscopy and ESI-MS analysis indicated a molecular peak at m/z 605.2 that is attributed in [LFeCl+H]⁺ formation. The IR bands at 3297, 1591, and 1523 cm⁻¹ were attributed to the ν (NH), ν (C=N), and δ (NH) vibrations. In the spectrum of the metal-free ligand L , the corresponding vibrations were detected at 3350, 1600, and 1535 cm⁻¹; this shift indicates metal coordination to imine- and amine-nitrogen. In the IR spectra of L and the LFe^{III}Cl the v(C-O) vibration was appeared at 1398 and 1389 cm⁻¹, respectively, suggesting strong coordination of Fe to the enolic oxygen atoms of the ligand L. Information about the iron center was obtained by Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) and Mössbauer spectroscopy. At 78 K, the Mössbauer parameters are $\delta = 0.50$ mm/s and $\Delta_{EQ} = 0.54$ mm/s indicating an octahedral high-spin Fe^{III}(S = 5/2) center, with the iron bound to nitrogen and oxygen atom donors [40]. The EPR spectrum of solid LFe^{III}Cl is characerised by two peaks at g = 4.3 and 9.2, characteristic

of a high-spin Fe^{III}(S = 5/2) center in a rhombic ligand-field characterized by E/D ~ 0.33 [41]. The UV-Vis spectrum of LFe^{III}Cl in CH₃CN contains absorption bands at 282 (ε = 20800 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and 314 nm (ε = 25580 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) due to intraligand transitions in the imine- and phenyl-groups [42]. The absorptions at 413 nm (ε = 7100 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and 512 nm (ε = 2000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) are attributed to LMCT [43]. The low-intensity 512 nm absorption can be attributed to charge transfer from ligand oxygen atoms to metal centre ($p_{\pi} \rightarrow Fe^{III} d_{\pi}$). Usually, a ($p_{\pi} \rightarrow Fe^{III} d_{\sigma}^{*}$) transition is also observed at higher energy than the $p_{\pi} \rightarrow Fe^{III} d_{\pi}$ transition and could be related to the transition at 413 nm [35, 36]. The presence of Fe^{III}-Cl bond also allows the presence of LMCT involving chloride $p_{\pi} \rightarrow Fe^{III} d_{\pi}$ orbitals [43, 44].

2.3. Catalytic Evaluation

2.3.1. GC-MS. H_2O_2 or tert-BuOOH diluted in solvent (CH₃CN or tert-amylalcohol) was slowly added (within a period of 5 min) to a solvent solution containing the catalyst and the substrate, at room temperature (25°C). For brevity in the text [H_2O_2 in tert-amylalcohol] will be referred as system A, [H_2O_2 in CH₃CN] as system B, and [tert-BuOOH in CH₃CN] as system C, respectively. As an internal standard, acetophenone or bromobenzene were used. Catalytic reactions were initiated by adding the oxidant into reaction mixture.

The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC-MS, by removing small samples of the reaction mixture. The yields reported herein are based on the amount of oxidant H_2O_2 converted to oxygenated products. To establish the identity of the products unequivocally, the retention times and spectral data were compared to those of commercially available compounds. Blank experiments showed that, without catalyst, no oxidative reactions take place.

2.3.2. Reaction Conditions

(1) Optimization of [Oxidant: Substrate] Ratio. To explore the optimum [oxidant-substrate] molar ratio, a first set of catalytic experiments was performed where the amount of substrate was kept constant and the amount of H_2O_2 was varied. Subsequently, a second set of catalytic experiments was run for varied amounts of substrate, using the optimum amount of H_2O_2 found in the first screening. For this screening, cyclooctene (Figure 1(a)), cyclohexene (Figure 1(b)), styrene (Figure 1(c)), and cyclohexane (Figure 1(d)) were tested. It is noted that H_2O_2 was diluted in acetonitrile (1/10 v/v) prior use, and it was introduced into the reaction mixture slowly.

Based on the data of Figures 1(a)-1(d), the higher oxidation yield was obtained by small amounts of H_2O_2 and large excess of substrate. This is consistent with the current view that (a) high oxidant concentration causes oxidative destruction of catalyst, (b) large excess of substrate protects the catalyst from oxidative degradation, and, moreover, (c) this substrate large excess minimizes the overoxidation of initial oxidation products [45].

Bioinorganic Chemistry and Applications



FIGURE 1: (a) Cis-cyclooctene oxidation is catalysed by $LFe^{III}Cl$ at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (b) Cyclohexene oxidation is catalysed by $LFe^{III}Cl$ at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (c) Styrene oxidation is catalysed by $LFe^{III}Cl$ at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (d) Cyclohexane oxidation is catalysed by $LFe^{III}Cl$ at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (d) Cyclohexane oxidation is catalysed by $LFe^{III}Cl$ at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (d) Cyclohexane oxidation is catalysed by $LFe^{III}Cl$ at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (d) Cyclohexane oxidation is catalysed by LFe^{III}Cl at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (d) Cyclohexane oxidation is catalysed by LFe^{III}Cl at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (d) Cyclohexane oxidation is catalysed by LFe^{III}Cl at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. (d) Cyclohexane oxidation is catalysed by LFe^{III}Cl at varied Substrate-Oxidant molar ratio in CH₃CN with H₂O₂. For all figures The given ratio is catalyst: oxidant: substrate.

Based on analogous experiments for all the substrates used in the present study, we found that for cyclohexene, methyl-cyclohexene, cyclooctene, limonene, and cyclohexane oxidation, the optimum molar ratio of [catalyst:oxidant:substrate] was equal to [1:20:1000] and for styrene, trans- β -methyl styrene and cis-stilbene oxidation, this molar ratio was equal to [1:50:1000].

(2) Excess of Dioxygen. Iron-based catalysts, in the presence of dioxygen, often adopt radical mechanistic paths. Thus, the LFe^{III}Cl catalyst was evaluated in oxidation reactions with H_2O_2 , (a) under atmospheric air versus (b) under inert Ar atmosphere (Table 1, Figure 2).

Based on the data of Table 1, it is observed that (a) the total yield of some oxidation reactions under air was over 100%; moreover, (b) the total oxidation yield under Ar was decreased. Especially, alcohol and ketone yields were strongly decreased under Ar. This suggests O₂-involvement in the oxidation process which possibly propagates a

radical autooxidation [46, 47] of the more reactive oxygencontaining products such as alcohols and ketones. Thus, to record reliable data, all catalytic experiments herein were performed under a vigorous Ar purge to avoid any trace of O₂.

(3) Solvent Effect on the Reaction Time. The time course profiles of the LFe^{III}Cl-catalysed oxidation of cyclohexene with H_2O_2 in CH₃CN and in tert-amylalcohol are given in Figure 3. According to these data the catalytic reaction was practically accomplished within 4 h in CH₃CN and within 12 h in *tert*-amylalcohol. Thus, the reaction rate is strongly influenced by solvent. This effect could be related to the solvent polarity. However, in both solvents, the total yield of cyclohexene oxidation is quite high providing 88.5% in CH₃CN and 79.0% in *tert*-amylalcohol. It is noted that cyclohexene oxidation, in CH₃CN with *tert*-BuOOH as oxidant, was complete within 2 h, resulting in a 76.3% total yield.

substrate	products	LFeCl under Ar ^c Yield (%)	LFeCl under Ar ^c Total yield (%)	LFeCl under air ^c Yield (%)	LFeCl under air ^c Total yield (%)
Cyclohexene ^a	cis-epoxide	6.5		3.5	
	2-cyclohexenol	52.0		61.5	
	2-cyclohexenone	30.0	88.5	43.2	108.2
1-Methyl-cyclohexene ^a	cis-epoxide	20.0		21.0	
	1-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol	25.6		22.6	
	3-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol	42.0		45.3	
	3-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one	8.8	96.4	52.0	140.9
Cyclooctene ^a	cis- epoxide	37.0	37.0	41.0	41.0
Styrene ^b	epoxide	7.5		7.0	
	phenyl-acetaldehyde	7.0		3.5	
	benzaldehyde	35.0	49.5	94.5	105.0
Methyl-Styrene ^b	trans-epoxide	41.9		29.0	
	methyl-benzyl-ketone	11.3		2.0	
	benzaldehyde	40.0	93.2	90.0	121.0
Cis- stylbene ^b	cis-epoxide	15.8		6.0	
	benzaldehyde	36.0	51.8	36.0	42.0

TABLE 1: Hydrocarbon oxidations catalyzed by LFeCl with H₂O₂ in CH₃CN under atmospheric air and under Ar.

^aConditions: ratio of catalyst: H₂O₂: substrate= 1:20:1000. ^bConditions: ratio of catalyst: H₂O₂: substrate= 1:50:1000. ^c Reactions were completed within 4 h.



100 80 Total yield (%) 60 40 20 0 0 5 10 15 20 25 Time (h) In CH₃CN In tert-amyl alcohol

FIGURE 3: Time-dependent reaction profiles for cyclohexene oxidation catalysed by $LFe^{III}Cl$ with H_2O_2 in CH_3CN and tert-amylalcohol.

FIGURE 2: Bar chart representation of oxidations catalyzed by $LFe^{III}Cl$ with H_2O_2 in CH_3CN under atmospheric air and under Ar.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Hydrocarbon Oxidation by the Fe^{III} -Catalysts. The catalytic activity of LFe^{III}Cl for hydrocarbon oxidation was evaluated using *tert*-BuOOH and H₂O₂ as oxidants in

either CH₃CN or *tert*-amylalcohol. Cyclohexene, methylcyclohexene, cyclooctene, limonene, and cyclohexane were used as substrates with a ratio of catalyst : oxidant : substrate equal to 1:20:1000 and styrene, trans- β -methyl styrene and cis-stilbene with a ratio of catalyst : oxidant : substrate equal to 1:50:1000. All oxidation reactions were carried out at room temperature under Ar atmosphere as described in details in Experimental Section. The obtained catalytic





FIGURE 4: Distribution of oxidation products catalyzed by LFe^{III}Cl, (a) in tert-amylalcohol with H_2O_2 , (b) in CH₃CN with H_2O_2 , and (c) in CH₃CN with tert-BuOOH. See Table 2 for further details.

results are summarized in Table 2. Figure 4 provides a histogram-plot of the data of Table 2. The catalytic data of $LFe^{III}Cl$ with H_2O_2 in CH_3CN were taken from [38] and are included here for comparison.

Based on Table 2 we observe that cyclohexene and limonene oxidation catalyzed by the LFeCl provided oxidation products with a combined yield of 79.0% and 93.7%, respectively, in *tert*-amylalcohol with H_2O_2 (system A), 88.5% and 99.8% in CH₃CN with H_2O_2 (system B), and 76.3% and 82.34% in CH₃CN with tert-BuOOH (system C). Cyclohexene undergoes mainly allylic oxidation forming 2-cyclohexene-1-ol and 2-cyclohexene-1-one (with yields 16.0% and 50.7%, resp., by system A, 52.0% and 30.0% by system B, and 37.0% and 37.0% by system C). However cyclohexene epoxidation is also observed, providing low epoxide yields 12.3%, 6.5%, and 2.3% by systems A, B, and C, respectively. It is note that in *tert*-amylalcohol, the yield of epoxide and ketone increases, while the alcohol yield is reduced.

The major products detected from limonene oxidation were (i) two epoxides (*cis-* and *trans-*) derived from epoxidation of the electron-rich double bond at 1,2- position and (ii) alcohols derived from hydroxylation of the double bond at 1- and 2-position and from hydroxylation at 6-position closed to 1,2-double bond. Oxidation products from the more accessible, though less electron-rich, double bond at 8,9-position were not observed. Additionally, considerable amounts of the corresponding ketone at 6-position were also formed. In summary, the yield (a) of limonene-epoxides (*cis*-1,2 and *trans*-1,2) was found to be 51.2% in system A, 33.3% in system B, and 27.4% in system C, (b) of limonene-alcohols (1-ol, 2-ol, and 6-ol) 33.5%, 54.0%, and 46.9%, respectively and (c) of 6-ketone 9.0%, 12.5%, and 8% in systems A, B, and C respectively. These data provide a total catalytic oxidation of limonene 93.7%, 99.8%, and 82.3% achieved by LFeCl, respectively, in *tert*-amylalcohol with H_2O_2 (system A), in CH₃CN with H_2O_2 (system C).

When methyl-cyclohexene was used as substrate, the detected oxidation products were *cis*-epoxide, 1-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol, 3-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-ol, and 3-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one. The corresponding yields found to be [40.0%, 20.0%, and 29.0%], [21.3%, 25.6%, and 23.7%], [24.5% 42.0%, and 36.3%], and [10.9%, 8.8%, and 8.5%] in catalytic systems A, B, and C, respectively. Generally, methyl-substituted alkenes are more reactive towards both epoxidation and allylic oxidation. Our findings confirm this aspect providing total yield of methyl-cyclohexene oxidation 93.7%, 98.8%, and 83.3% by the present catalytic systems.

Cis-cyclooctene as substrate afforded a single-product reaction with H_2O_2 catalysed by LFe^{III}Cl resulting only in

substrate	products	I FeCl ^c		L FeCl ^d		I FeCle	
		Yield (%)	Total yield (%)	Yield (%)	Total yield (%)	Yield (%)	Total yield (%)
Cyclohexene ^a	cis-epoxide	12.3		6.5		2.3	
	2-cyclohexenol	16.0		52.0		37.0	
	2-cyclohexenone	50.7	79.0	30.0	88.5	37.0	76.3
1-Methyl-cyclohexene ^a	cis-epoxide	40.0		20.0		29.0	
	1-methyl-2-cyclohexen- 1-ol	21.3		25.6		23.7	
	3-methyl-2-cyclohexen- 1-ol	24.5		42.0		36.3	
	3-methyl-2-cyclohexen- 1-one	10.9	96.7	8.8	96.4	8.5	97.5
Cyclooctene ^a	cis- epoxide	53.0		37.0		28.0	
	2-cyclooctenone		53.0		37.0	10.0	38.0
Limonene ^a	cis-1,2 epoxide	34.4		21.0		18.0	
	trans-1,2 epoxide	16.8		12.3		9.4	
	limonene alcohol ^f	33.5^{h}		54.0 ^g		46.9 ⁱ	
	limoneme ketone ^j	9.0	93.7	12.5	99.8	8.0	82.3
Styrene ^b	epoxide	25.0		7.5		3.0	
	phenyl-acetaldehyde	1.6		7.0		1.6	
	benzaldehyde	20.0	46.6	35.0	49.5	45.0	49.6
Methyl-styrene ^b	trans-epoxide	47.7		41.9		42.0	
	methyl-benzyl-alcohole	4.5				8.0	
	methyl-benzyl-ketone	_	11.3				
	benzaldehyde	45.0	97.2	40.0	93.2	15.8	65.8
Cis-stylbene ^b	cis-epoxide	9.5		15.8		2.4	
	trans-epoxide	30.0				23.0	
	stylben-cetone	_		—		3.0	
	benzaldehyde	14.0	53.5	36.0	51.8	20.0	48.4
Cyclohexane ^a	cyclohexanol	5.0		7.7		4.6	
	cyclohexanone	3.0	8.0	4.4	12.1	2.4	7.0

TABLE 2: Hydrocarbon oxidation by L*Fe*^{III}Cl catalyst.

^aConditions: ratio of catalyst : oxidant : substrate= 1:20:1000. ^bConditions: ratio of catalyst : oxidant : substrate= 1:50:1000. ^cReactions were completed within 12 h in *tert*-amylalcohol with H₂O₂ as oxidant. ^dReactions were completed within 4 h in CH₃CN with H₂O₂ as oxidant. ^eReactions were completed within 1 h in CH₃CN with *tert*-BuOOH as oxidant. ^fLimonene alcohols were found to be a mixture of 1-ol, 2-ol, and 6-ol. ^g54% yield corresponds to 23% for 1-ol, 13.5% for 2-ol, and 17.5% for 6-ol. ^h33.5% yield corresponds to 9.0% for 1-ol, 6.5% for 2-ol, and 18.0% for 6-ol. ⁱ46.9 yield corresponds to 26.0% for 1-ol, 8.97% for 2-ol, and 11.93% for 6-ol. ^jThe only observed ketone is the 6-one.

cis-cyclooctene epoxide with 53.0% and 37.0% yields in systems A and B, respectively. However, the use of tert-BuOOH as oxidant provided 28% epoxide and 10% 2-cyclooctenone. Generally, cyclooctene occurs more readily epoxydation than allylic oxidation; however, here with tert-BuOOH, overoxidized 2-cyclooctenone derived from allylic oxidation was also detected.

Cis-stilbene oxidation in catalytic systems A and C provided *cis*- and *trans*- epoxides as major products [9.5% and 30% in system A] and [2.4% and 23.0% in C]. Benzaldehyde as oxidative cleavage product was also formed with yields 14% and 20%, respectively. In Catalytic system B, the detected products were benzaldehyde with yield 36.0% and *cis*-stilbene epoxide with yields 15.8%.

Styrene oxidation provided benzaldehyde as major product derived by oxidative cleavage of the exo-cyclic double bond with yields 20.0%, 35.0%, and 45.0% in systems A, B, and C, respectively. However, epoxide and phenyl acetaldehyde have been also formed by direct oxidation of the same double bond with [25.0% and 1.6%] in system A, [7.5% and 7.0%] in system B, and [3.0% and 1.6%] in system C. Overall, styrene was oxidised by LFe^{III}Cl in different oxidation conditions providing total yields from 46.6% to 49.6%.

The methyl-substituted styrene, *trans-\beta*-methyl styrene, is more reactive than styrene showing total oxidation yields 97.2%, 93.2%, and 65.8% in catalytic systems A, B, and C, respectively. The identified products were *trans*-epoxide

(47.7%, 41.9%, and 42.0%) and benzaldehyde as oxidation cleavage adduct (45.0%, 40.0%, and 15.8%). In some cases, methyl-benzyl-ketone and methyl-benzyl-alcohol were also detected.

Finally, cyclohexane oxidation by the present Fe^{III}catalyst, in the three A, B, and C catalytic conditions, gave cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone with combined yields 8.0%, 12.2%, and 7.0% while the corresponding alcohol/ketone (A/K) ratio was found to be 1.66, 1.75, and 1.71, respectively.

3.2. Mechanistic Considerations. The A/K ratio in cyclohexane can be used as a criterion of the presence and lifetime of free alkyl radical intermediates [31] as follows: (i) when A/K = 1, then we assume that the alkyl radicals are longlived with a strong tendency to interact with O₂ to form alkyl-peroxy-radicals [45]. At the end, following a Russelltype terminal stage [48], recombination of these radicals results in the formation of equimolar amounts of alcohol and ketone [21, 31]. (ii) When A/K > 1, the radical •OH is formed by a metal-based system and the metal center reacts directly to form the corresponding alcohol. This is consistent with the formation of Fe^{III}–OOH intermediate and the homolytic cleavage of O–O bond to •HO radical and oxoiron(IV) followed by electrophilic addition of metalbased species to the substrate.

Hydrocarbon oxidations catalyzed by LFe^{III}Cl presented comparable selectivity and distribution of oxidation products, in the three experimental conditions studied herein. This implies similar mechanistic path in the catalysis. Generally, LFe^{III}Cl is able to generate iron-hydroperoxo-species under the mild oxidation conditions used. It is known from other nonheme iron systems that Fe^{III}–OOH could be either (a) a precursor or (b) itself an oxidant [22, 28, 46]. In the first case, possible homolytic cleavage of O-O bond leads to an Fe^{IV}=O species and reactive •HO radical while a heterolytic cleavage generates an Fe^v=O and an OH⁻ species [22, 28, 46]. Herein, the major oxidation products of alkenes are alcohols and ketones, mainly derived by an allylic oxidation reaction. However, considerable amounts of epoxides have been also formed. Taking into account that the detected allylic oxidation products are the main component of the observed oxidation yield, a dominant radical mechanistic path is suggested. This suggestion is further supported by detection of traces of the compounds illustrated in Scheme 2. Their formation could be a result of (a) interaction between two allyl-radicals formed on substrates and (b) interaction of an allyl-radical on substrate and a hydro-peroxo radical.

In this context, when tert-amylalcohol—a less polar solvent—was used with H_2O_2 , the reaction time is considerably longer, the yield of the corresponding epoxides increased, and the yield of radical mechanism products, that is, alcohols and ketones decreases. On the other hand, the use of *tert*-BuOOH as oxidant enhanced the yield of the corresponding alcohols and ketones.

4. Conclusion

The LFe^{III}Cl catalyst was efficient in homogeneous hydrocarbon oxidations providingsignificant yields. The catalytic



SCHEME 1: Schematic representation of ligand [3-{2-[2-(3-hydroxy-1,3-diphenyl-allylideneamino)-ethylamino]-ethylimino}-1,3-diphenyl-propen-1-ol] (L).



SCHEME 2: Schematic representation of detected byproducts.

experiments (a) were performed under inert argon atmosphere excluding any trace of O_2 which favors radical oxidation paths and (b) included large excess of substrate, protecting the catalyst from oxidative degradation and minimizing the overoxidation of initial oxidation products. *tert*-BuOOH provided comparable oxidation yields with H_2O_2 ; nevertheless it slightly favors the formation of alcohols and ketones *versus* epoxides. The polarity of solvent strongly influences the reaction rate and the nature of oxidation products. We suggest that LFe^{III}Cl functions *via* the formation of iron-hydroperoxo-species and the dominant mechanistic path is a radical one.

References

- K. Furuhashi, "Biological routes to optically active epoxides," in *Chirality in Industry*, A. N. Collins, G. N. Sheldrake, and J. Crosby, Eds., pp. 167–186, John Wiley & Sons, London, UK, 1992.
- [2] U. Sundermeier, C. Döbler, and M. Beller, "Recent developments in the osmium-catalyzed dihydroxylation of olefins," in *Modern Oxidation Methods*, J. E. Bäckvall, Ed., p. 1, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2004.

- [4] R. Mas-Ballesté, M. Costas, T. Van Den Berg, and L. Que Jr., "Ligand topology effects on olefin oxidations by bio-inspired [Fe^{II}(N₂Py₂)] catalysts," *Chemistry—A European Journal*, vol. 12, no. 28, pp. 7489–7500, 2006.
- [5] K. Shikama, "The molecular mechanism of autoxidation for myoglobin and hemoglobin: a venerable puzzle," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 98, no. 4, pp. 1357–1373, 1998.
- [6] M. Merkx, D. A. Kopp, M. H. Sazinsky, J. L. Blazyk, J. Müller, and S. J. Lippard, "Dioxygen activation and methane hydroxylation by soluble methane monooxygenase: a tale of two irons and three proteins," *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 40, no. 15, pp. 2782–2807, 2001.
- [7] S. C. Pulver, W. A. Froland, J. D. Lipscomb, and E. I. Solomon, "Ligand field circular dichroism and magnetic circular dichroism studies of component B and substrate binding to the hydroxylase component of methane monooxygenase," *Journal* of the American Chemical Society, vol. 119, no. 2, pp. 387–395, 1997.
- [8] M. D. Wolfe, J. V. Parales, D. T. Gibson, and J. D. Lipscomb, "Single turnover chemistry and regulation of O₂ activation by the oxygenase component of naphthalene 1,2-dioxygenase," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 3, pp. 1945–1953, 2001.
- [9] A. Karlsson, J. V. Parales, R. E. Parales, D. T. Gibson, H. Eklund, and S. Ramaswamy, "Crystal structure of naphthalene dioxygenase: side-on binding of dioxygen to iron," *Science*, vol. 299, no. 5609, pp. 1039–1042, 2003.
- [10] R. H. Holm, P. Kennepohl, and E. I. Solomon, "Structural and functional aspects of metal sites in biology," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 96, no. 7, pp. 2239–2314, 1996.
- [11] V. K. Sivasubramanian, M. Ganesan, S. Rajagopal, and R. Ramaraj, "Iron(III)-salen complexes as enzyme models: mechanistic study of oxo(salen)iron complexes oxygenation of organic sulfides," *Journal of Organic Chemistry*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 1506–1514, 2002.
- [12] X. Shan and L. Que Jr., "High-valent nonheme iron-oxo species in biomimetic oxidations," *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, vol. 100, no. 4, pp. 421–433, 2006.
- [13] K. C. Gupta, A. Kumar Sutar, and C.-C. Lin, "Polymersupported Schiff base complexes in oxidation reactions," *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 253, no. 13-14, pp. 1926–1946, 2009.
- [14] R. B. Bedford, D. W. Bruce, R. M. Frost, J. W. Goodby, and M. Hird, "Iron(III) salen-type catalysts for the cross-coupling of aryl Grignards with alkyl halides bearing β-hydrogens," *Chemical Communications*, no. 24, pp. 2822–2823, 2004.
- [15] K. P. Bryliakov and E. P. Talsi, "Evidence for the formation of an iodosylbenzene(salen)iron active intermediate in a (salen)iron(III)-catalyzed asymmetric sulfide oxidation," *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, vol. 43, no. 39, pp. 5228–5230, 2004.
- [16] L. Que Jr. and W. B. Tolman, "Recurring structural motifs in bioinorganic chemistry," in *Bio-Coordination Chemistry*, J. A. McCleverty and T. J. Meyer, Eds., vol. 8 of *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II*, Elsevier, Oxford, UK, 2004.
- [17] E. Y. Tshuva and S. J. Lippard, "Synthetic models for non-heme carboxylate-bridged diiron metalloproteins: strategies and tactics," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 987–1012, 2004.

- [18] A. L. Feig and S. J. Lippard, "Reactions of non-heme iron(II) centers with dioxygen in biology and chemistry," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 94, no. 3, pp. 759–805, 1994.
- [19] C. He and Y. Mishina, "Modeling non-heme iron proteins," *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 201–208, 2004.
- [20] J.-U. Rohde, M. R. Bukowski, and L. Que Jr., "Functional models for mononuclear nonheme iron enzymes," *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 674–682, 2003.
- [21] K. Chen and L. Que Jr., "Evidence for the participation of a high-valent iron-oxo species in stereospecific alkane hydroxylation by a non-heme iron catalyst," *Chemical Communications*, no. 15, pp. 1375–1376, 1999.
- [22] M. Costas, A. K. Tipton, K. Chen, D.-H. Jo, and L. Que Jr., "Modeling rieske dioxygenases: the first example of iron-catalyzed asymmetric cis-dihydroxylation of olefins," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 123, no. 27, pp. 6722–6723, 2001.
- [23] K. Chen, M. Costas, J. Kim, A. K. Tipton, and L. Que Jr., "Olefin cis-dihydroxylation versus epoxidation by non-heme iron catalysts: two faces of an FeIII-OOH coin," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 124, no. 12, pp. 3026–3035, 2002.
- [24] P. D. Oldenburg, A. A. Shteinman, and L. Que Jr., "Ironcatalyzed olefin cis-dihydroxylation using a bio-inspired N,N, O-ligand," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 127, no. 45, pp. 15672–15673, 2005.
- [25] C. P. Horwitz, D. R. Fooksman, L. D. Vuocolo, S. W. Gordon-Wylie, N. J. Cox, and J. T. Collins, "Ligand design approach for securing robust oxidation catalysts," *Journal* of the American Chemical Society, vol. 120, pp. 4867–4868, 1998.
- [26] T. J. Collins, "TAML oxidant activators: a new approach to the activation of hydrogen peroxide for environmentally significant problems," *Accounts of Chemical Research*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 782–790, 2002.
- [27] J. M. Rowland, M. Olmstead, and P. K. Mascharak, "Syntheses, structures, and reactivity of low spin iron(III) complexes containing a single carboxamido nitrogen in a [FeN5L] chromophore," *Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 2810–2817, 2001.
- [28] M. Klopstra, G. Roelfes, R. Hage, R. M. Kellogg, and B. L. Feringa, "Non-heme iron complexes for stereoselective oxidation: tuning of the selectivity in dihydroxylation using different solvents," *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 4, pp. 846–856, 2004.
- [29] F. G. Gelalcha, G. Anilkumar, M. K. Tse, A. Brückner, and M. Beller, "Biomimetic iron-catalyzed asymmetric epoxidation of aromatic alkenes by using hydrogen peroxide," *Chemistry—A European Journal*, vol. 14, no. 25, pp. 7687–7698, 2008.
- [30] L. Que Jr. and R. Y. N. Ho, "Dioxygen activation by enzymes with mononuclear non-heme iron active sites," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 96, no. 7, pp. 2607–2624, 1996.
- [31] M. Costas, K. Chen, and L. Que Jr., "Biomimetic nonheme iron catalysts for alkane hydroxylation," *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, vol. 200–202, pp. 517–544, 2000.
- [32] M. Lubben, A. Meetsma, E. C. Wilkinson, B. Feringa, and L. Que Jr., "Nonheme iron centers in oxygen activation: characterization of an iron(III) hydroperoxide intermediate," *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English*, vol. 34, no. 13-14, pp. 1512–1514, 1995.

- [33] I. Bernal, I. M. Jensen, K. B. Jensen, C. J. McKenzie, H. Toftlund, and J. Tuchagues, "Iron(II) complexes of polydentate aminopyridyl ligands and an exchangeable sixth ligand; Reactions with peroxides," *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*, no. 22, pp. 3667–3675, 1995.
- [34] J. Kim, E. Larka, E. C. Wilkinson, and L. Que Jr., "An alkylperoxoiron(III) intermediate and its role in the oxidation of aliphatic C-H Bonds," *Angewandte Chemie (International Edition in English)*, vol. 34, no. 18, pp. 2048–2051, 1995.
- [35] B. J. Wallar and J. D. Lipscomb, "Dioxygen activation by enzymes containing binuclear non-heme iron clusters," *Chemical Reviews*, vol. 96, no. 7, pp. 2625–2657, 1996.
- [36] M. Louloudi, K. Mitopoulou, E. Evaggelou, Y. Deligiannakis, and N. Hadjiliadis, "Homogeneous and heterogenized copper(II) complexes as catechol oxidation catalysts," *Journal* of *Molecular Catalysis A*, vol. 198, no. 1-2, pp. 231–240, 2003.
- [37] C. Vartzouma, E. Evaggellou, Y. Sanakis, N. Hadjiliadis, and M. Louloudi, "Alkene epoxidation by homogeneous and heterogenised manganese(II) catalysts with hydrogen peroxide," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A*, vol. 263, no. 1-2, pp. 77–85, 2007.
- [38] G. Bilis, K. C. Christoforidis, Y. Deligiannakis, and M. Louloudi, "Hydrocarbon oxidation by homogeneous and heterogeneous non-heme iron (III) catalysts with H₂O₂," *Catalysis Today*. In press.
- [39] G. Grigoropoulou, K. C. Christoforidis, M. Louloudi, and Y. Deligiannakis, "Structure-catalytic function relationship of SiO₂-immobilized mononuclear Cu complexes: an EPR study," *Langmuir*, vol. 23, no. 20, pp. 10407–10418, 2007.
- [40] D. P. E. Dickson and F. J. Berry, *Mossbauer Spectroscopy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1996.
- [41] J. Peisach, W. E. Blumberg, S. Ogawa, E. A. Rachmilewitz, and R. Oltzik, "The effects of protein conformation on the heme symmetry in high spin ferric heme proteins as studied by electron paramagnetic resonance," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 246, no. 10, pp. 3342–3355, 1971.
- [42] A. Dhakshinamoorthy and K. Pitchumani, "Clay-anchored non-heme iron-salen complex catalyzed cleavage of C=C bond in aqueous medium," *Tetrahedron*, vol. 62, no. 42, pp. 9911–9918, 2006.
- [43] G. C. Silva, G. L. Parrilha, N. M. F. Carvalho et al., "A bio-inspired Fe(III) complex and its use in the cyclohexane oxidation," *Catalysis Today*, vol. 133–135, no. 1–4, pp. 684–688, 2008.
- [44] F. Farzaneh, M. Poorkhosravani, and M. Ghandi, "Utilization of immobilized biomimetic iron complexes within nanoreactors of Al-MCM-41 as cyclohexane oxidation catalyst," *Journal* of *Molecular Catalysis A*, vol. 308, no. 1-2, pp. 108–113, 2009.
- [45] S. Tanase and E. Bouwman, "Selective conversion of hydrocarbons with H₂O₂ using biomimetic non-heme iron and manganese oxidation catalysts," *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 58, pp. 29–75, 2006.
- [46] G. Roelfes, M. Lubben, R. Hage, L. Que Jr., and B. L. Feringa, "Catalytic oxidation with a non-heme iron complex that generates a low-spin FeIIIOOH intermediate," *Chemistry—A European Journal*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 2152–2159, 2000.
- [47] P. A. MacFaul, K. U. Ingold, D. D. M. Wayner, and L. Que Jr., "A putative monooxygenase mimic which functions via well-disguised free radical chemistry," *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 119, no. 44, pp. 10594–10598, 1997.
- [48] F. Gozzo, "Radical and non-radical chemistry of the Fentonlike systems in the presence of organic substrates," *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A*, vol. 171, no. 1-2, pp. 1–22, 2001.